

















Criteria for Melanocytic Lesions: An Introduction

Raymond Barnhill Institut Curie Paris, France







Road Map

- Mission of the pathologist
- Classification
- Intermediate lesions
- Uncertain lesions
- MPath project, classification tool, and study
- Criteria for diagnosis
 - Clinical criteria
 - Histopathological criteria

Mission of the Pathologist Re: Melanocytic Lesions

- Accurate and understandable diagnostic report for clinicians and patients
 - Prognosis and staging
 - Management, vis-à-vis, surgery and other therapies
- Do no harm and exercise due diligence
 - Do not miss melanoma!
 - Do not over-diagnose melanoma!

Classification: A Longstanding Dogma

Benign
or
Malignant



One should try to make things simpler but not too simple.



Classification of Melanocytic Lesions

Too simple! This leads to over-diagnosis and missed melanomas! And harm to patients.

BenignMalignant



MPATH and WHO 4th Edition Classification

Much better! This acknowledges intermediate and uncertain lesions

- Benign
- Intermediate
- Malignant

What is an Intermediate Melanocytic Lesion?

Benign Nevus	 Atypical nevus Dysplastic nevus Atypical Spitz tumor Uncertain lesions (lesions with diagnostic discordance) 	Clear-cut Melanoma
	Lesions with uncertain malignant potential	



What is an Uncertain Melanocytic Lesion?

- A lesion difficult to interpret as benign or malignant
- A lesion with uncertain potential for :
- Recurrence, persistence, progression, or metastasis

"Medicine is a science of uncertainty and an art of probability"

.....Sir William Osler



<u>Uncertainty</u> about melanocytic lesions exists and must be communicated for patient care



MPATH Study: Discordance in Diagnosis of Melanocytic Lesions

- NIH grants: Joann Elmore and expert panel: RB, DE, MP 2009 to present
- Study set: 240 melanocytic lesions
- MPATH-Dx classification schema: 5 Classes of melanocytic lesions related to degree of atypia
- Recruitment of 187 pathologists in USA
- Rate of agreement with a consensus diagnosis of the expert panel

MPATH- Dx* Class	Perceived Risk for Progression	Suggested treatment consideration	Examples
0	Incomplete study due to sampling or technical limitations	Repeat biopsy or short-term follow up	N/A
Ι	Very low risk	No further treatment	-Common melanocy nevus -Blue nevus -Mildly dysplastic nevus
II	Low risk	Narrow but complete excision (< 5 mm)	-Moderately dysplastic nevus -Spitz nevus
III	Slightly higher risk, greater need for intervention.	Complete excision with at least 5 mm but <1 cm margins	-Severely dysplastic nevus -Melanoma in situ -Atypical Spitz tumo
IV	Substantial risk for local or regional progression	Wide local excision with ≥ 1 cm margins	Thin, invasive melanoma (e.g., T1a)
V	Greatest risk for regional and/or distant metastases	Wide local excision with ≥ 1 cm margins. Consideration of staging sentinel lymph node biopsy, adjuvant therapy	Thicker invasive melanomas (e.g., T1b, T2 or greater)

MPath Project

Pathologists' diagnosis of invasive melanoma and melanocytic proliferations: observer accuracy and reproducibility study

Joann G Elmore,¹ Raymond L Barnhill,² David E Elder,³ Gary M Longton,⁴ Margaret S Pepe,⁴ Lisa M Reisch,¹ Patricia A Carney,⁵ Linda J Titus,⁶ Heidi D Nelson,^{7,8} Tracy Onega,^{9,10} Anna N A Tosteson,¹¹ Martin A Weinstock,^{12,13} Stevan R Knezevich,¹⁴ Michael W Piepkorn^{15,16}

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE

To quantify the accuracy and reproducibility of pathologists' diagnoses of melanocytic skin lesions.

DESIGN

Observer accuracy and reproducibility study.

SETTING

10 US states.

PARTICIPANTS

Skin biopsy cases (n=240), grouped into sets of 36 or 48. Pathologists from 10 US states were randomized to independently interpret the same set on two occasions concordance rates were lower, but with similar trends. Accuracy using a consensus diagnosis of experienced pathologists as reference varied by class: I, 92% (95% confidence interval 90% to 94%); II, 25% (22% to 28%); III, 40% (37% to 44%); IV, 43% (39% to 46%); and V, 72% (69% to 75%). It is estimated that at a population level, 82.8% (81.0% to 84.5%) of melanocytic skin biopsy diagnoses would have their diagnosis verified if reviewed by a consensus reference panel of experienced pathologists, with 8.0% (6.2% to 9.9%) of cases overinterpreted by the initial pathologist and 9.2% (8.8% to 9.6%) underinterpreted.

British Medical Journal 2017:357: j2813

Accuracy of 187 Pathologists' Interpretations Compared with Consensus Reference Diagnoses

MPATH Class		% Concordance with consensus reference diagnosis†
Class I	Nevus, no or mild atypia	92 %
Class II	Nevus, moderate atypia	25 %
Class III	Nevus, severe atypia Melanoma in situ	40 %
Class IV	Melanoma invasive < 0.8 mm	43 %
Class V	Meanoma invasive > 0.8 mm	72 %
[†] Reference diagnosis was obtained from consensus of three experienced dermatopathologists (RB, DE, MP).		

Greatest Concordance and Certainty: Banal Nevi and Thicker Melanomas

MPATH Class		% Concordance with consensus reference diagnosis† (95% CI)
Class I	Nevus, no or mild atypia	92 %
Class II	Nevus, moderate atypia	25 %
Class III	Nevus, severe atypia Melanoma in situ	40 %
Class IV	Melanoma invasive < 0.8 mm	43 %
Class V	Melanoma invasive > 0.8 mm	72 %
*Reference diagnosis was obtained from consensus of three experienced		

Worst Concordance and Greatest Uncertainty: Intermediate Lesions

MPATH Class		% Concordance with consensus reference diagnosis†
Class I	Nevus, no or mild atypia	92 %
Class II	Nevus, moderate atypia	25 %
Class III	Nevus, severe atypia Melanoma in situ	40 %
Class IV	Melanoma invasive < 0.8 mm	43 %
Class V	Meanoma invasive > 0.8 mm	72 %
*Reference diagnosis was obtained from consensus of three experienced dermatopathologists.		

Due Diligence

Standardized, Methodical, and Comprehensive Approach to Difficult/Intermediate and Uncertain Melanocytic Lesions

Clinical Criteria*

Histopathological Criteria*

Ancillary Technique Criteria

Guidelines for Criteria

- Obtain <u>as much</u> information as possible
- Always use <u>several/multiple</u> criteria
- <u>No single</u> criterion is diagnostic
- There are <u>exceptions</u> to every criterion... often leading to <u>uncertainty</u>!

I. Clinical Criteria

Obtain as much information as possible, especially <u>all clinical</u> information before finalizing a diagnosis

- ✓ Age
- ✓ Gender (sex)
- \checkmark Anatomic site
- ✓ Size
- ✓ Clinical features of the lesion
- \checkmark Clinical history

Clinical Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Melanoma
Age	Young age, especially < 10-12 years	Increasing age Increasing prevalence
Gender	Hormonal effects in women	Older men - increased risk
Anatomic site	Extremities favor Spitz, special sites: acral, vulvar	Scalp, neck, back, trunk, lower legs women
Gross morphological features	< 5 mm, well circumscribed, symmetrical, regular borders, uniform color	> 5 mm >10mm, poorly circumscribed asymmetrical, irregular color, black, ulceration, amelanotic
Clinical history	Stable, long-standing lesion, history of trauma	Changing lesion, new lesion, itching, pain, bleeding

Clinical Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Melanoma
	Young age	Increasing
Age	< 10 years	age Increasing prevalence

Age – 60 years



Lentigo Maligna Melanoma

Clinical Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Melanoma
Anatomic site	Extremities favor Spitz Special sites: acral, vulvar	Scalp, neck, back, trunk, lower legs in women

Anatomic Site - Scalp



Congenital/Pediatric Melanoma

Desmoplastic Melanoma

Anatomic Site - Scalp



Melanoma arising in Blue Nevus

Clinical Criteria ["ABCDEs"] Clinical Diagnosis is 80 to 90%

Gross Morphological Features	Benign Nevus	Melanoma
• Diameter (size)	• < 5 mm,	• > 6 mm >10mm,
• Symmetry	• Symmetry	• Asymmetry
Circumscription	• Well circumscribed	 Poor circumscription
(borders)		
• Color	• Uniform color	• Non uniform color
		-Black
		-Amelanotic

Clinical Features



< 5 mm

5-10 mm

> 6 mm , >10 mm

Clinical Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Melanoma
	•Stable	 Changing
Clinical	•Long-standing	 New lesion
History	lesion	• Itching, pain,
[Evolution]	•History of	bleeding
	trauma	

Clinical History Enlarging and Changing Lesion


WHO 4th Edition Histopathology is the Gold Standard



How Does Histopathology Really Function?

Benign Nevus



Gray or intermediate zone: suboptimal gold standard



Clear-cut Melanoma



Why Does Histopathology Function So Poorly in This Intermediate Zone?

- The lack of correlation between histopathological criteria and precise molecular data and neoplastic progression
- <u>The problem of defining cancer</u>



Machine Learning and the Cancer-Diagnosis Problem — No Gold Standard

Adewole S. Adamson, M.D., M.P.P., and H. Gilbert Welch, M.D., M.P.H.

A major subbranch of this field is machine learning,

in which computers learn to perform tasks by analyzing data rather than requiring specific programming instructions from humans — that is they generate

delays in diagnosis.¹ Automation of tedious and repetitive tasks, such as the examination of multiple lymph nodes for histologic evidence of metastatic disease is derstand why, it's important to appreciate how the technology works. Most machine-learning algorithms used in medicine are trained by means of a process called supervised learning, in which the computer is presented with images that have been labeled using an external standard that serves as the "ground truth." A simplified version of the

New England J Medicine 2019; 381: 2285

5. Elmore JG, Barnhill RL, Elder DE, et al. Pathologists' diagnosis of invasive melanoma and melanocytic proliferations: observer accuracy and reproducibility study. BMJ 2017; 357;j2813. Machine Learning the Cancer-Diagnosis Problem

- Risk for greatly over-diagnosing cancer!
- The potential to utilize a three-tiered system: benign, intermediate/uncertain lesions, malignant
- Facilitate recognizing intermediate/uncertain lesions for further study and analysis by pathologists

The Certain Lesion Diagnosis by Gestalt





In addition, is your specimen representative of the whole lesion?...



Examine the entire lesion before final diagnosis!



Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Diameter (size)	< 4 mm	4 – 12 mm	> 5 mm >10mm
Symmetry	Symmetry	<u>+</u> Symmetry	Asymmetry
Circumscription	Sharp	Sharp to poor	Poor
Pagetoid melanocytosis	Usually none	<u>+</u> , focal	Often present
Architecture of nesting Confluence melanocytes	Regular nests	Often irregular <u>+</u> Confluence	Irregular nesting
Cellularity	Low cellularity	Increasing cellularity	High cellularity
Maturation	Present	±	Absent
Mitoses	Usually absent	±	Often present
Cytological atypia	Usually none	Variable	Moderate - severe
Solar elastosis	Usually none	<u>+</u>	Often present
Host response	Usually absent	Increasing	Prominent

- 1. How many are needed?
- 2. What are the most important criteria?

- <u>Objective or quantifiable</u> criteria are better than subjective (fuzzy) criteria
 - Size, diameter
 - Ulceration
 - Mitotic rate
 - Cellular and nuclear sizes

Architecture -Low/scanning magnification

2. Cytology – High magnification

Gross Morphology and Microscopic Silhouette at Low/Scanning Magnification Are Complementary

Architecture/Silhouette Melanoma



Accurate diagnosis in approximately 80 to 90% of melanomas

Evaluation of Microscopic Architecture by Silhouette



Ackerman AB Am J Dermatopathol 1989;11:297-300.

Evaluation of Microscopic Architecture by Silhouette

- Diameter (size)
- Breslow thickness
- Symmetry
- Circumscription
- Pagetoid melanocytosis
- Architecture of nesting
- Confluence of melanocytes
- Cellularity
- Maturation





Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Diameter	<u><</u> 4 mm	4 – 12 mm	> 5 mm
	Common	Dysplastic	>10 mm
	nevi	nevi	



Diameter of Dysplastic Nevi Is a More Robust Biomarker of Increased Melanoma Risk Than Degree of Histologic Dysplasia: A Case-Control Study Xiong MY, Rabkin MS, Piepkorn MW, Barnhill RL, Argenyi Z, Erickson L, Guitart J, Lowe L, Shea CR, Trotter MJ, Lew RA, Weinstock MA. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2014 Dec;71(6):1257-1258.e4.

Diameter - 3 mm

Compound Nevus

Diameter - 2 cm



Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty





Metastatic Melanoma !

Diameter < 4 mm



Clinical History!

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Breslow thickness	Not applicable	Useful metric for dermal neoplasm	Prognostic factor Guides therapy

Symmetry Symmetry Symmetry	Asymmetry
 Silhouette Epidermal contour Melanocytes Melanin Host response 	

Mirror Image Symmetry

- Overall silhouette
- ? Biphasic silhouette
- Detailed comparison of individual elements
 - ✓ Melanocytes
 - ✓ Melanin
 - ✓ Host response
 - ✓ Epidermal contour

Symmetry



Spitz Nevus

Mirror Image Symmetry

Spitz Nevus

Asymmetry The Biphasic Lesion Two distinct components

Asymmetry The Biphasic Lesion Marker of Neoplastic Progression

Melanoma



Biphasic Melanocytic Lesions Two distinct components

Benign	Intermediate	Malignant
• Combined	• Benign +	 Conventional
nevus	✓Atypical	radial +
	✓Uncertain	\checkmark vertical growth
• ? Malignant	✓Malignant	phases
transformation	transformation	✓Clonal
		progression

Benign Biphasic Lesion: Combined Nevus

Dermal Nevus

Blue Nevus

Atypical Biphasic Lesion: Combined Nevus or Neoplastic Progression?





Atypical Biphasic Lesion: Nevus and Melanoma



Atypical Biphasic Lesion: Nevus and Melanoma


Atypical Biphasic Lesion: Nevus and Melanoma



Malignant Biphasic Lesion: Melanoma with Clonal Progression

Melanoma

Clonal Progression

Asymmetry: Individual Elements

≻Melanocytes

- Density
- Pattern of distribution, e.g.
 - \checkmark Junctional vs pagetoid
 - \checkmark Single cells vs nests
- Cytological features

≻Melanin

≻Host response

Atypical Biphasic Lesion: Nevus and Melanoma



Melanoma



Asymmetry: Individual Elements



Epidermal Contour Uniform

Pigmented Spindle Cell Nevus



Effacement



Epidermal Contour Ulceration



Symmetry

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



Symmetry

Nevoid Melanoma Metastatic Melanoma!

- Size < 4 mm
- Well-circumscribed
- Symmetrical
- No pagetoid scatter
- Nevoid



Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Circumscription	Sharp borders Nests at periphery	Sharp to poor Dysplastic nevi	Poor Single cells

Sharp Circumscription

Pigmented Spindle Cell Nevus

Poor Circumscription



Melanoma

Poor Circumscription Lentiginous Melanoma in Situ

Single cells at periphery

Circumscription

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



Sharp Circumscription Nevoid Melanoma !

Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Pagetoid melanocytosis	Usually absent	Absent Focal	Usually present At least 1 HPF





Compound Nevus

Intermediate Lesions

Oysplastic Nevus Moderate

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



- Congenital nevi
- ➤ Spitz tumors
 - Pagetoid Spitz nevi



- > Pigmented spindle cell nevi
- ≻ Acral nevi
- > Other special site nevi: vulva
- > Nevi with trauma, UV exposure
- ➢ Recurrent nevi

Criterion	Nevi	Melanoma
Distribution	Focal	Diffuse
Central vs peripheral	Central	Central, <u>peripheral</u>
Density	Sparse	Dense
Level	Suprabasal to mid epidermis	Full thickness
Atypia	Absent or low-grade	Significant



Melanoma

Diffuse, full-thickness pagetoid scatter

Congenital Nevus

Central, sparsely cellular, lower epidermis

Pagetoid Spitz Nevus

Cytology of Spitz

Pagetoid Spitz Nevus

Pigmented Spindle Cell Nevus

128:00

Full thickness pagetoid scatter

Pigmented Spindle Cell Nevus

Periphery -no pagetoid scatter



100 µm

Focal, central, sparsely cellular, lower epidermis

Acral Nevus

Exceptions Melanoma!



Lower half of epidermis

Melanoma 📕

Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Architecture	Regular	Often	Irregular
of nesting		irregular	Confluent
Confluence /		Often	
contiguous		confluent	
melanocytes		Bridging	
meranocytes		Druging	
Regular Nesting

M2

Compound Nevus

Irregular and Confluent Nesting



Dysplastic Nevus

Irregular and Confluent Nesting in Junctional and Dermal Components



Melanoma

Irregular and Confluent Nesting



Confluent Nesting in Dermis

Vertical growth phase nodule

Melanoma

Confluent Nesting in Dermis



Confluent Nesting

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



Confluent Nesting Mimic of melanoma



Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Cellularity	Low cellularity	Increasing cellularity	Dense cellularity

Cellularity

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



High Cellularity

Recurrent Atypical Spitz Tumor with Uncertain Malignant Potential

Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Maturation	Present	Present or diminished	Absent

Maturation





Epithelioid or type A cells

C Spindle or type C cells

Lymphocytoid or type B cells

B

Maturation



Spitz Nevus

Maturation



No Maturation



Melanoma

No Maturation

Melanoma

Maturation

Intermediate Lesions

No Maturation

Atypical Pigmented Spindle Cell Nevus

Maturation

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



Partial Maturation

Nevoid Melanoma!



Histopathological Criteria

> Architecture / Silhouette

- ✓ Size (Diameter)
- ✓ Breslow thickness
- ✓ Circumscription
- ✓ Symmetry
- ✓ Pagetoid melanocytosis
- ✓ Architecture of nesting
- ✓ Confluence of melanocytes
- ✓ Cellularity
- ✓ Maturation



Microscopic Evaluation at Medium and High Magnification

- Cytological characteristics
- Cytological atypia

• Mitotic activity



Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Cytological atypia	None to mild	Mild to moderate	Moderate to severe
Pleomorphism			
Hyperchromatism Uneven/thickened nuclear			
Prominent nucleoli Increased nuclear to			
cytoplasmic ratio			

Cytological Atypia

Not dysplastic naevus

Mild

Severe



Cytological Atypia

Exceptions Lesions with Uncertainty



Cytological Atypia

Subtle cytological atypia

Lentigo Maligna Melanoma in Situ

Cytological Atypia: Importance of Clinical History! Mimic of Melanoma



Histopathological Criteria

Criterion	Benign	Intermediate	Melanoma
Mitoses Junctional Dermal	Usually absentSuperficial	Increased	 Increasing frequency Hot spots Deep

Mitoses

Nevoid Melanoma

100.00 µm

Mitoses

Check deep mitoses

Nevoid Melanoma

Sampling of Melanocytic Lesions

- Obtain all clinical information!
- Examine the entire lesion!
- Partial biopsies: cannot evaluate:
 - ✓ Size, thickness
 - ✓ Circumscription
 - ✓ Symmetry
 - ✓ Can miss the most important part of the lesion!
- May not be representative!



III. Ancillary Techniques

Ancillary Techniques

- 1. Immunohistochemistry
- Silhouette of a lesion
- 2. Molecular techniques
- Increasingly valuable
- 3. Artificial intelligence and machine learning
<u>Uncertainty</u> about immunohistochemical, molecular, and machinelearning findings in melanocytic lesions exists and must be acknowledged



The Two Most Under-Utilized Special Techniques

- 1. The human brain
- complex image analysis
- integration of all data
- permits judgement and the human touch
- 2. The telephone
- direct communication to obtain information





Take Home Messages

- Do not force benign vs malignant classification; acknowledge intermediate lesions
- 2. Uncertainty exists in melanocytic lesions and must be communicated
- 3. Employ due diligence: always have all information and use multiple criteria
- 4. Always examine the entire lesion



"Medicine is a science of uncertainty and an art of probability"

.....Sir William Osler

